RATIONAL FORMALITY OF MAPPING SPACES

YVES FÉLIX

(communicated by Pascal Lambrechts)

Abstract

Let X and Y be finite nilpotent CW complexes with dimension of X less than the connectivity of Y. Generalizing results of Vigué-Poirrier and Yamaguchi, we prove that the mapping space $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ is rationally formal if and only if Y has the rational homotopy type of a finite product of odd dimensional spheres.

1. Introduction

Let X and Y be connected spaces that have the rational homotopy type of finite CW complexes. We denote by n the maximum integer q such that $H^q(X;\mathbb{Q}) \neq 0$. In this text we consider mapping spaces $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ satisfying the following hypotheses (H).

$$H\left\{\begin{array}{ll} (i)\ X\ \text{and}\ Y\ \text{are not rationally contractible,}\\ (ii)\ \text{There exists}\ n\geqslant 1\ \text{such that}\ H^n(X;\mathbb{Q})\neq 0,\, H^q(X;\mathbb{Q})=0\ \text{if}\ q>n,\\ \text{and}\ Y\ \text{is}\ n\text{-connected} \end{array}\right.$$

Under those hypotheses, Map(X, Y) is a nilpotent space and its rational homotopy is described by Haefliger [6] and Brown and Szczarba [1].

Our main interest here is to understand when $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ is a (rationally) formal space. Formality is important in rational homotopy. If a space is formal then its rational homotopy type is completely determined by its rational cohomology. More precisely a nilpotent space Z is formal if its Sullivan minimal model is quasi-isomorphic to the differential graded algebra $(H^*(Z;\mathbb{Q}),0)$. Many spaces coming from geometry are formal. Among formal spaces we find the spheres, the projective spaces, the products of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces, the compact Kähler manifolds ([2]), and the (p-1)-connected compact manifolds, $p \geq 2$, of dimension $\leq 4p-2$ [8].

The formality of mapping spaces has been the subject of previous works. In [3], N. Dupont and M. Vigué-Poirrier prove that when $H^*(Y;\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated, then $\operatorname{Map}(S^1,Y)$ is formal if and only if Y is rationally a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. In [14] T. Yamaguchi proves that when Y is elliptic, the formality of $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ implies that Y is rationally a product of odd dimensional spheres. In [13] M. Vigué-Poirrier proves that if $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ is formal and if the Hurewicz map $\pi_q(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to H_q(X;\mathbb{Q})$ is nonzero in some odd degree q, then Y has the homotopy type of a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces. When Y is a finite complex, we prove here that the hypothesis on the Hurewicz map is not necessary.

Theorem 1. Under the above hypotheses (H), Map(X,Y) is formal if and only if Y has the rational homotopy type of a product of odd dimensional spheres.

As an important step in the proof of Theorem 1 we prove

Theorem 2. If $\dim Y = N$, then the Hurewicz map

$$\pi_q(Map(X,Y)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to H_q(Map(X,Y);\mathbb{Q})$$

is zero for q > N.

2. Rational homotopy

The theory of minimal models originates in the works of Sullivan [10] and Quillen [9]. For recall a graded algebra A is graded commutative if $ab = (-1)^{|a|\cdot|a|}ba$ for homogeneous elements a and b. A graded commutative algebra A is free on a graded vector space V, $A = \wedge V$, if A is the quotient of the tensor algebra TV by the ideal generated by the elements $xy - (-1)^{|x|\cdot|y|}yx$, $x, y \in V$. A (Sullivan) minimal algebra is a graded commutative differential algebra of the form $(\wedge V, d)$ where V admits a basis v_i indexed by a well ordered set I with $d(v_i) \in \wedge(v_j, j < i)$. Now if (A, d) is a graded commutative differential algebra whose cohomology is connected and finite type, there is a unique (up to isomorphism) minimal algebra $(\wedge V, d)$ with a quasi-isomorphism $\varphi : (\wedge V, d) \to (A, d)$. The differential graded algebra $(\wedge V, d)$ is then called the (Sullivan) minimal model of (A, d).

In [10] Sullivan associated to each nilpotent space Z a graded commutative differential algebra of rational polynomials forms on Z, $A_{PL}(Z)$, that is a rational replacement of the algebra of de Rham forms on a manifold. The minimal model ($\land V, d$) of $A_{PL}(Z)$ is then called the minimal model of Z. More generally a model of Z is a graded commutative differential algebra quasi-isomorphic to its minimal model. For more details we refer to [10], [4] and [5].

A space X is called (rationally) formal if its minimal model, $(\land V, d)$, is quasi-isomorphic to its cohomology with differential 0,

$$\psi: (\wedge V, d) \to (H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}), 0)$$
.

A formal space X admits a minimal model equipped with a bigradation on V, $V=\bigoplus_{p\geqslant 0, q\geqslant 1}V_p^q$ such that $d(V_p^q)\subset (\wedge V)_{p-1}^{q+1}$, and such that the bigradation induced on the homology satisfies $H_p^q=0$ for $p\neq 0$. This model has been constructed by Halperin and Stasheff in [7], and is called the bigraded model of X. We will use this model for the proof of Theorem 2.

A nilpotent space X is called (rationally) elliptic if $\pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and $H^*(X;\mathbb{Q})$ are finite dimensional vector spaces. To be elliptic for a space X is a very restrictive condition. For instance $H^*(X;\mathbb{Q})$ satisfies Poincaré duality and $\pi_q(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is zero for $q \geq 2$ · dim X. A nilpotent space X is called (rationally) hyperbolic if $\pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is infinite dimensional and $H^*(X;\mathbb{Q})$ finite dimensional. The homotopy groups of elliptic and hyperbolic spaces have a completely different behavior. For instance, for an hyperbolic space X, the sequence $\sum_{i \leqslant q} \dim \pi_i(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ has an exponential growth ([4]).

In [6], Haefliger gives a process to construct a minimal model for Map(X,Y). With the hypotheses (H) of the Introduction, suppose that $(\land W,d)$ is the Sullivan minimal model of X. Denote by $S \subset (\land W)^n$ a supplement of the subvector space generated by the cocycles. Then $I = (\land W)^{>n} \oplus S$ is an acyclic differential graded ideal, and the quotient $(A,d) = (\land W/I,d)$ is a finite dimensional model for X. We denote by (B,d) the dual coalgebra. Let $(a_i), i = 0, \ldots, p$ be a graded basis for A with $a_0 = 1$ and denote by $\overline{a_i}$ the dual basis for B.

Denote also by $(\land V, d)$ the minimal model of Y. We define a morphism of graded algebras

$$\varphi: \land V \to A \otimes \land (B \otimes V)$$

by putting $\varphi(v) = \sum_i a_i \otimes (\overline{a_i} \otimes v)$. In [6] Haefliger proves that there is a unique differential D on $\wedge (B \otimes V)$ making

$$\varphi: (\land V, d) \to (A, d) \otimes (\land (B \otimes V), D)$$

a morphism of differential graded algebras. Then $(\land (B \otimes V), D)$ is a model for Map(X, Y) and φ is a model for the evaluation map Map $(X, Y) \times X \to Y$. In particular, ([12]), the rational homotopy groups of Map(X, Y) are given by

$$\pi_q(\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \bigoplus_i [H_i(X;\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \pi_{q+i}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}].$$

This formula is natural in X and Y.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

In [11] Thom computes the rational homotopy type of $\operatorname{Map}(X, K(\mathbb{Q}, r))$ when $\dim X < r$. He proves that the mapping space is a product of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces,

$$\operatorname{Map}(X, K(\mathbb{Q}, r)) = \prod_{i} K(H_i(X; \mathbb{Q}), r - i).$$

Since odd dimensional spheres are rationally Eilenberg-MacLane spaces, it follows that if Y has the rational homotopy type of a product of odd dimensional spheres, then Map(X, Y) is formal.

Suppose now that $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ is formal. Since any retract of a formal space is formal, Y is formal. By Theorem 2, the image of the Hurewicz map for $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ is finite dimensional. Recall that for a formal space, the cohomology is generated by classes that evaluate non trivially on the image of the Hurewicz map. Therefore the algebra $H^*(\operatorname{Map}(X,Y);\mathbb{Q})$ is finitely generated.

The square of an even dimensional generator x_i of $H^*(\operatorname{Map}(X,Y);\mathbb{Q})$ gives a map $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y) \to K(\mathbb{Q},2r_i), r_i=2|x_i|$. We denote by θ the product of those maps,

$$\theta: \operatorname{Map}(X,Y) \to \prod_i K(\mathbb{Q}, 2r_i)$$
.

We do not suppose that $x_i^2 \neq 0$. In fact if $x_i^2 = 0$ for all i, then θ is homotopically trivial but this has no effect on our argument. The pullback along θ of the product of the principal fibrations $K(\mathbb{Q}, 2r_i - 1) \to PK(\mathbb{Q}, 2r_i) \to K(\mathbb{Q}, 2r_i)$ is a fibration

$$\prod_{i} K(\mathbb{Q}, 2r_i - 1) \to E \to \operatorname{Map}(X, Y).$$

By construction the rational cohomology of E is finite dimensional, and so the rational category of E is also finite.

Now from the definition of the dimension of X, there is a cofibration $X' \to X \xrightarrow{q} S^n$ such that $H_n(q;\mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. The restriction to X' induces a map $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y) \to \operatorname{Map}(X',Y)$ whose homotopy fiber is the injection

$$j: \Omega^n Y = \operatorname{Map}_*(S^n, Y) \to \operatorname{Map}(X, Y)$$
.

From the naturality of the formula for the rational homotopy groups of a mapping space, we deduce that $\pi_*(j) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is injective. Denote now E' the pullback of $E \to \operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ along j,

$$\prod_{i} K(\mathbb{Q}, 2r_{i} - 1) = \prod_{i} K(\mathbb{Q}, 2r_{i} - 1)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$E' \qquad \stackrel{j'}{\rightarrow} \qquad E$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\Omega^{n}Y \qquad \stackrel{j}{\rightarrow} \qquad \operatorname{Map}(X, Y)$$

Since $\pi_*(j') \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is injective, it follows from the mapping theorem [4] that the rational category of E' is finite. In particular the cup length of E' is finite.

Now the rational cohomology of $\Omega^n Y$ is the free commutative graded algebra on the graded vector space S_* , with $S_q = \pi_{n+q}(Y) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore if Y is hyperbolic, $H^*(E';\mathbb{Q})$ will contain a free commutative graded algebra on an infinite number of generators, and in particular its cup length is infinite. It follows that Y is elliptic. To end the proof we only apply Yamaguchi result ([14]) that asserts that when Y is elliptic, and $\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)$ is formal, then Y has the rational homotopy type of a finite product of odd dimensional spheres.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Denote by $(\land V, d)$ the bigraded model for Y and by (A, d) a connected finite dimensional model for X. Connected means that $A^0 = \mathbb{Q}$. Denote as above by a_i , an homogeneous basis of A, and by $\overline{a_i}$ the dual basis for $B = \operatorname{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Q})$. We write also $B_+ = \operatorname{Hom}(A^+, \mathbb{Q})$.

Recall now that a model for the evaluation map $X \times \operatorname{Map}(X,Y) \to Y$ is given by the morphism

$$\varphi: (\land V, d) \to (A, d) \otimes (\land (B \otimes V), D)$$
,

defined by $\varphi(v) = \sum_i a_i \otimes (\overline{a_i} \otimes v)$.

We consider the differential ideal $I = \wedge V \otimes \wedge^{\geqslant 2}(B_+ \otimes V)$, and we denote by $\pi : (\wedge (B \otimes V), D) \to (\wedge (B \otimes V)/I, \overline{D})$ the quotient map. In $\wedge (B \otimes V)/I$ the equation $\pi \circ \varphi \circ d = (d \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \overline{D}) \circ \pi \circ \varphi$ gives for each $v \in V$ the equation

$$\sum_{i} da_{i} \otimes (\overline{a_{i}} \otimes v) + \sum_{i} (-1)^{|a_{i}|} a_{i} \otimes \overline{D} (\overline{a_{i}} \otimes v) = 1 \otimes dv + \sum_{a_{i} \in A^{+}} a_{i} \otimes \theta_{i}(v),$$

where θ_i is the derivation of $\wedge V \otimes \wedge (B \otimes V)$ defined by $\theta_i(v) = \overline{a_i} \otimes v$ and $\theta_i(B \otimes V) = 0$.

To go further we specialize the basis of A^+ . We denote by $\{y_i\}$ a basis of d(A), by $\{e_j\}$ a set of cocycles such that $\{y_i, e_j\}$ is a basis of the cocycles in A. Finally we choose elements x_i with $d(x_i) = y_i$. A basis of A is then given by 1 and the elements x_i, y_i and e_j . Denote then by ψ_j, ψ_i' and ψ_i'' the derivations θ associated respectively to e_j, x_i and y_i . Then we have

$$\overline{D}(\overline{e_i} \otimes v) = (-1)^{|e_i|} \psi_i(v), \quad \overline{D}(\overline{x_i} \otimes v) = (-1)^{|x_i|} \psi_i'(v),$$

$$\overline{D}(\overline{y_i} \otimes v) = (-1)^{|y_i|} \left(\psi_i''(v) - (\overline{x_i} \otimes v) \right) .$$

it follows that the complex $(\land (B \otimes V)/I, \overline{D})$ decomposes into a direct sum

$$(\wedge (B \otimes V)/I, \overline{D}) = \wedge V \oplus (\oplus_j C_j) \oplus D,$$
 with $C_j = (\overline{e_j} \otimes V) \otimes \wedge V,$

and where D is the ideal generated by the $\overline{x_i} \otimes v$ and $\overline{y_i} \otimes v$.

Consider now in $(\land (B \otimes V), D)$ a cocycle α of the form

$$\alpha = \sum_{j} \overline{e_{j}} \otimes v_{j} + \sum_{i} \overline{x_{i}} \otimes u_{i} + \sum_{i} \overline{y_{i}} \otimes w_{i} + \omega$$

where ω is a decomposable element. Looking at the linear term of $D(\alpha)$ we obtain that $\sum_i \overline{y_i} \otimes w_i = 0$. We can replace α by $\alpha + D(\sum_i (-1)^{|x_i|} \overline{y_i} \otimes u_i)$ to cancel the linear part $\sum_i \overline{x_i} \otimes u_i$. We can thus suppose that α has the form

$$\alpha = \sum_{j} \overline{e_j} \otimes v_j + \omega$$

where ω is a decomposable element.

In $\wedge (B \otimes V)/I$, α decomposes into a sum of cocycles, $\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i$ with $\alpha_i \in C_i$. Let fix some i. We write $r = |e_i|$ and $\overline{v} = (\overline{e_i} \otimes v)$. We denote $\overline{V} = \overline{e_i} \otimes V$. Then the component C_i is isomorphic to $(\wedge V \otimes \overline{V}, \overline{D})$ and \overline{V} is equipped with an isomorphism of degree -r,

$$s: V^q \to \overline{V}^{q-r}$$

We extend s in a derivation of $\wedge V \otimes \wedge \overline{V}$ by $s(\overline{V}) = 0$, and the differential \overline{D} satisfies $\overline{D}(\overline{v}) = (-1)^r s d(v)$.

Write $\alpha_i = \overline{v} + \omega$, where $\omega \in \overline{V} \otimes \wedge^+ V$. We show that in that case v is a cocycle. If this is true for any i, this implies that the map

$$\rho_q: H^q(\land V \otimes \land (B \otimes V), D) \to H^q((\land V \otimes \land (B \otimes V))/\land^{\geqslant 2} (V \oplus (B \otimes V))), D)$$

is zero in degrees $q \geqslant \dim Y$. Since ρ_q is the dual of the Hurewicz map $h_q : \pi_q(\operatorname{Map}(X,Y)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to H_q(\operatorname{Map}(X,Y);\mathbb{Q})$, this implies the result.

We now follow the lines of the proof given for r=1 by Dupont and Vigué-Poirrier in [3]. Write $\wedge V = \wedge V^{\text{even}} \otimes \wedge V^{\text{odd}}$, and denote by $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ a graded basis of $V^{\text{even}} \oplus V^{\text{odd}}$. We denote by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ the derivation of degree $-|x_i|$ defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x_i) = 1$$
 and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(x_j) = 0, i \neq j$.

If $v \in V_p^q$, we denote $\ell(v) = p + q$. This is a new gradation, and for any element P of $\wedge V$, we have

$$\ell(P) P = \sum_{i} \ell(x_i) x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(P).$$

The lower gradation on V extends to \overline{V} . If $v \in V_p^q$, then $s(v) \in \overline{V}_p^{q-r}$. The differential \overline{D} is compatible with this double gradation,

$$\overline{D}: (\wedge V \otimes \overline{V})_p^q \to (\wedge V \otimes \overline{V})_{p-1}^{q+1}$$
.

Write $P = \overline{D}x$, $P_i = \overline{D}x_i$ and $\omega = \sum \overline{x_i} a_i$ with $x_i \in V$, $a_i \in \wedge^+ V$. Then

$$0 = \overline{D}\overline{v} + \sum \overline{D}(\overline{x_i}a_i) = (-1)^r \left(s(P) + \sum_i s(P_i) a_i \right) + \sum_i (-1)^{|\overline{x_i}|} \overline{x_i} \cdot \overline{D}(a_i)$$

$$= (-1)^r \left(\sum_i \overline{x_i} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{ij} \overline{x_i} \frac{\partial P_j}{\partial x_i} a_j \right) + \sum_i (-1)^{|\overline{x_i}|} \overline{x_i} \cdot \overline{D}(a_i).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} = -(-1)^{|x_i|} \overline{D} a_i - \sum_j \frac{\partial P_j}{\partial x_i} a_j ,$$

and

$$\ell(P)P = \sum_{i} \ell(x_{i})x_{i} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{i}} = -\left(\sum_{ij} \ell(x_{i})x_{i} \frac{\partial P_{j}}{\partial x_{i}} a_{j} + \sum_{i} (-1)^{|x_{i}|} \ell(x_{i})x_{i} \overline{D} a_{i}\right)$$
$$= -\left(\sum_{i} \ell(P_{i})P_{i} a_{i} + \sum_{i} (-1)^{x_{i}} \ell(x_{i})x_{i} \overline{D} a_{i}\right) = -\overline{D}\left(\sum_{i} \ell(x_{i})x_{i} a_{i}\right).$$

This implies that

$$v + \sum_{i} \frac{\ell(x_i)}{\ell(x)} x_i a_i$$

is a cocycle. In particular, $v \in V_0$ and is a cocycle. This ends the proof of theorem 2.

References

- [1] E. Brown and R. Szczarba, On the rational homotopy type of function spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **349** (1997), 4931-4951.
- [2] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan and D. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds, Invent. Math. 29 (1975), 245-273.
- [3] N. Dupont and M. Vigué-Poirrier, Formalité des espaces de lacets libres, Bull. Soc. Math. France 126 (1998), 141-148.
- [4] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, Rational Homotopy Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 205, Springer-Verlag 2001.
- [5] Y. Félix, J. Oprea and D. Tanré, Algebraic Models in Geometry, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics 17, Oxford University Press 2008
- [6] A. Haefliger, Rational homotopy of the space of sections of a nilpotent bundle, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 273 (1982), 609-620.
- [7] S. Halperin and J. Stasheff, Obstructions to homotopy equivalences, Adv. in Math. 32 (1979), 233-279.
- [8] T. Miller, On the formality of (k-1)-connected compact manifolds of dimension less than or equal to 4k-2, Illinois J. of Math. **23** (1979), 253-258.
- [9] D. Quillen, Rational homotopy theory, Annals. of Math. 90 (1969), 205-295.
- [10] D. Sullivan, Infinitesimal computations in topology, Publ. I.H.E.S. 47 (1978), 269-331.
- [11] R. Thom, L'homologie des espaces fonctionnels, Manuscripta Math. 56 (1986), 177-191.
- [12] M. Vigué-Poirrier, Sur l'homotopie rationnelle des espaces fonctionnels, Manuscripta Math. 56 (1986), 177-191.
- [13] M. Vigué-Poirrier, Rational formality of function spaces, Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures, 2 (2007), 99-108.
- [14] T. Yamaguchi, Formality of the function space of free maps into an elliptic space, Bull. Soc. Math. France 128 (2000), 207-218.

Yves Félix yves.felix@uclouvain.be

Université Catholique de Louvain Institut de Mathématique et de Physique 2, chemin du cyclotron 1348 Louvain-La-Neuve Belgium